IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.H.L.DATTU
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.T.SANKARAN

MONDAY, THE 8TH OCTOBER 2007 / 16TH ASWINA 1929

RP.No. 88 of 2002(Y)

(AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN OP.15324/1998)

PETITIONER/5TH RESPONDENT IN THE O.P. :

CORPORATE MANAGER,
VERAPOLY ARCH DIOCESE,
ST. ALBERT'S SCHOOL, ERNAKULAM.

BY ADV. SRI.BABU VARGHESE

RESPONDENTS/PETITIONER & RESPONDENTS 1 TO 4 AND 6:
---

1. AZHIMATHI VIRUDHA SAMITHY,
SOCIETY REGISTERED UNDER THE TRAVANCORE COCHIN
LITERARY SCIENTIFIC AND CHARITABLE SOCIETIES ACT,
GANDHI BHAVAN,
BANERJI ROAD, KOCHI – 682 018.
(REPRESENTED BY ITS GENERAL SECRETARY MR. BABY THOMAS,
S/O. K.V. THOMAS,
AGED 39,
RESIDING AT KUNNUMKAL,
EDAPPALLY, ELAMAKKARA P.O.)

2. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF KERALA
SECRETARIAT,
TRIVANDRUM.

3. NAIR SERVICE SOCIETY,
(CORPORATE EDUCATIONAL AGENCY),
REPRESENTED BY ITS GENERAL SECRETARY,
N.S.S. HEAD QUARTERS,
PERUNNA,
CHANGANASSERY.

4. S.N.D.P. YOGAM
(CORPORATE EDUCATIONAL AGENCY)
REPRESENTED BY ITS GENERAL SECRETARY, KOLLAM.

5. CORPORATE MANAGER,
SACRED HEARTS CORPORATE EDUCATIONAL AGENCY OF THE C.M.I.,
RAJAGIRI,
KALAMASSERY.

6. CORPORATE MANAGER,
VERAPOLY ARCH DIOCESE'S SCHOOLS,
ST. ALBERT'S SCHOOL, ERNAKULAM.

7. CORPORATE MANAGER,
ERNAKULAM ARCH DIOCESE'S SCHOOLS,
ARCH BISHOP'S HOUSE,
BROADWAY, ERNAKULAM.

BY ADDL. ADVOCATE GENERAL SHRI VENGANOOR K.CHANDRASEKHARAN NAIR
BY ADV. SRI.P.G.PARAMESWARA PANICKER (SR.)
ADV. SRI.M.R.RAJENDRAN NAIR
ADV. SRI.C.UNNIKRISHNAN, SAKEENA.M.A.
ADV. SRI.A.N.RAJAN BABU
ADV. SRI.K.GEORGE VARGHESE KANNANTHANAM
ADV. SRI.V.M.KURIAN

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 08/10/2007 ALONG WITH R.P.NO. 105 OF 2002 IN W.A. No. 278 OF 1995, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

H.L. DATTU, C.J. & K.T. SANKARAN, J.

.....

R.P.No. 88 OF 2002 IN O.P.No. 15324 of 1998

&

R.P. No. 105 OF 2002 IN W.A.No. 278 OF 1995

.....

Dated this the 8th October, 2007

O R D E R

H.L. Dattu, C.J.:

By these Review Petitions, the review petitioners intend to re-argue the matter. The same is impermissible in law. Therefore, the Review Petitions require to be rejected and they are rejected.

Ordered accordingly.

H.L. DATTU,
CHIEF JUSTICE.

K.T. SANKARAN,
JUDGE.

lk

H.L. DATTU, C.J.&
K.T. SANKARAN, J.

.....

R.P. No. 88 OF 2002 IN
O.P. 15324 OF 1998
&
R.P.No. 105 OF 2002 IN
W.A.No. 278 OF 1995

.....
Dated this the 8th October, 2007

O R D ER